

Chandler's former statement. The letter follows:

"My Dear Mr. Tillman:  
"As the telephone denial by President Roosevelt sent to the Senate through Senator Lodge remains in the record of May 12th, it seems to me that I should take some notice of it, which I do by now reaffirming the essential truth of the statement I made to you, and which you repeated in the Senate. Much as I regret that a hasty action of Senator Lodge was taken, President Roosevelt's action between the President and myself, the extreme language he used makes such issue unavoidable, and I cannot shrink from or evade it, although I cannot use toward the Chief Executive of the nation language like his own.

#### Summoned by President.

"Upon our respective statements I submit the controversy with confidence to the judgment of those who know me. For those who do not know me, there is fortunately circumstantial evidence of a high order which shows that the President could not have omitted to make in substance the statement which he makes. Nor could he have done so, while the statement he now substitutes. His impulsiveness has led him into serious error upon a point of no importance in itself, but only as affecting his attack upon me. I give to you a further statement as follows:

"Prior to March 31st I had not seen the President for a long time, and did not go to the White House as a representative of Senator Tillman, but solely because the President summoned me there by the letter from Mr. Loeb, and I waited for him to express his object. It was unmistakably stated to be a communication to Mr. Tillman, who had the rate bill in charge, and other Democrats of the Senate, for the purpose of securing the adoption in the railroad rate bill of a court-review clause limiting the inquiry to the question whether the commission had exceeded its authority or had violated the constitutional rights of the carrier.

"I knew, and he knew, that it was impossible for him to open conferences with Mr. Tillman unless he was fully satisfied that the President had absolutely given his agreement with the senators who had been making the contest for an unlimited court review, and in stating his object he said that he had parted from them finally, naming Senators Knox, Foraker and Spooner as the senators who had made the arguments in the Senate to sustain that view, and he used, as nearly as I can recollect, the language given in my statement repeated by Mr. Tillman.

#### Got Tillman's Consent.

"When an hour later I visited Mr. Tillman and told him my mission from the President I found him distrustful and suspicious. He questioned me closely as to what the President had said, and I related to him accurately as I could the statement made by the President to me, and I convinced him that the President had ceased to hope for compromise with the senators named and the other advocates of an unlimited court review. When told that this was the case, he readily consented to co-operate with the President.

"The President says Senator Foraker was not mentioned. I am quite sure he is mistaken. Senators Knox and Spooner, he says, were mentioned, but that all that was said about them was, as to Senator Knox, that the President did not agree with a portion of his proposed amendment, and as to Senator Spooner, that his name was only mentioned by him to express a cordial approval of Senator Spooner's amendment.

"If the President had on that night told me that he cordially approved of it, and I had so reported to Mr. Tillman, there would have ensued no conferences looking to co-operation. The only harm that I can see that has come in from this business was the abandonment of any attempt to carry that limitation of the review without any previous notice to Senators Tillman and Bailey.

"On the whole, perhaps, I ought to consider myself fortunate. If the old imperialistic days had been revived at the time, I should have been the best of friends, whom I considered the best of friends, who Senator Lodge, upon demand would have cut off my head and taken it to President Roosevelt on a charger, and I should have spoken no more. Now, at least, I have left to me the power of speech.

"But I shall never use it again as a misleader. I am President Roosevelt to the Democratic party.

"Sincerely yours,

"WM. B. CHANDLER."

Ex-Senator Chandler, looking as savage as the proverbial meat-axe, his wanted expression, stood immediately behind Senator Tillman during the reading of the statement, leaning negligently against the chair, and looking on to the chamber, holding a brand new straw hat in his hand and appearing to have a rather bored interest in the whole matter.

#### Bailey Again.

Immediately upon the conclusion of the reading of Mr. Chandler's statement, Mr. Bailey arose again. This time he appeared somewhat excited and angry. He said that his attention had been called to another article printed in the New York Tribune of May 12th. This article was presumably from the pen of Richard L. Penn, head of the Tribune Bureau here. Mr. Bailey said it was of the same character as that printed by the Chicago Tribune, and that evidently they had been timed to bring them both to Washington at the same time. Pointing to the Tribune, he said:

"I intend to put in the record, upon the statement of more than one reputable newspaper correspondent in that gallery—and as a rule they are as honorable as senators on this floor—on their authority, that the two chief misleaders of this administration are the correspondents of the New York Tribune and the Chicago Tribune.

"AND, THEREFORE, IT SEEMS TO ME CONCLUSIVE THAT THIS SLANDER PROCEEDS FROM THE WHITE HOUSE OR FROM THE HOUSE OF MY COUNTRY, THAT IT DOES NOT PROCEED FROM THE PRESIDENT HIMSELF.

"But, if he be a man of high sense of honor, he will see to it that senators are not slandered by his subordinates, and the miserable wretch who is connected to these newspapers, and who sought through them to communicate to the country, a slander on me, which people might discuss, rather than these issues that have been raised, he is unfit for his high office, and the man who perpetrated

Argo Red Salmon

DEMONSTRATIONS this

week as follows:

M. L. Glascock, No. 215 W. Main Street, Thursday and Friday.

G. B. Taylor, No. 328 W. Cary Street, Saturday.

Richmond, Va.

#### "Berry's" for Clothes.



If you're to be part of the audience at the games, dress the part—be a credit to yourself and your city.

Berry Suits are at your service, and they're the best. If you haven't any particular sort of suit in mind, suppose you drop around at your leisure and see suits that have YOU in mind.

Swell Suits at \$13.00 and \$20.00. Perfect garments at \$28.00 and \$30.00.

All the other items of proper attire, for man or boy.

O. H. Berry & Co.  
MEN'S & BOYS' OUTFITTERS

that infamy will pay for it with his position, and if the man continues to hold his office, it is to be assumed that what he has done has been with the approval of his chief. The silence which marked the conclusion of Mr. Bailey's first statement followed the second. It was broken by the "Near-English" of Senator Knute Nelson, a Norwegian, who inquired as what question was before the Senate.

"The question is to who did the lying," said a correspondent in the press gallery, sotto voce, quoting from Mr. Tillman.

Daniel and Tillman.

The Senate then took up the anti-pass question again, resuming consideration of the Culberson amendment, which sought to broaden the provisions of the amendment adopted last week, practically abolishing all forms of free transportation. Many amendments and changes of verbiage all in the direction of broadening the amendment so as to allow the issuance of passes to attorneys for railroads, railway surgeons, sick people, caretakers of live stock, men to work on farms, families of bona fide employees of railroads, etc.

Senator Daniel tried to have the provision amended so as to include families of attorneys for railroads. Senator Tillman declared the amendment would make the "provision a laughing stock" and suggested the withdrawal of the amendment so that "we can get something else." Senator Daniel sprung to his feet.

"I do not intend to sit and listen to your misrepresentation of my amendment to your unjust and passionate manner," he said, evening anger.

Mr. Tillman then indicated that he resented the words of the senator from Virginia, although Senator Daniel had interrupted him to make the statement. He mildly called attention to the fact that Senator Daniel was taking his (Tillman's) time, adding, "I propose to retain the floor, and also my temper, while the senator from Virginia does not appear to be able to do."

"Your manner is rough and insulting to gentlemen with whom you are debating," declared Senator Daniel, with heat.

Mr. Tillman said he apologized if the senator from Virginia had been disturbed by his words, and allowed Senator Daniel to make a statement in support of the amendment.

This closed the incident, and the two Senators will be as good friends as ever.

#### Free Pass Amendment.

The Senate dragged along, discussing the free pass amendment. Mr. McLaughlin, of Mississippi, declared the Republicans had got so in the habit of voting down amendments that they would not even adopt the ten amendments, but he was going to give Moses a show, and would propose one of the amendments as a part of the bill. He offered as an amendment to the bill a provision that trains should be moved between sunrise and sunset on Sunday, and then read from the Book of Exodus in support of the amendment. The amendment was voted down amid laughter.

Senator Bacon moved to amend the bill so that ex-Confederate soldiers might receive passes, putting them on the same footing as Union veterans, which had been proposed earlier. The sum and substance of all the debate on this anti-pass amendment was the adoption of the final Culberson amendment with the old soldier provision added.

The motion of Senator Lodge that consideration of the bill in committee of the whole be declared concluded was adopted, and the Senate adjourned. The final consideration of the measure, will now begin.

The bill will be read by sections and further changes may be made. It will hardly be voted on finally before Friday, possibly Saturday.

#### COMMEND TILLMAN FOR FEARLESSNESS

Democrats of South Carolina Take Action—Chairman Denounces

President Roosevelt.

(By Associated Press.)

COLUMBIA, S. C., May 16.—The Democratic State Convention met here today and was organized by the election of J. William Thurmond, of Edgefield, as permanent president. Other than adopting the platform and mapping out the rules and regulations for the coming primary election, the convention had little to do except routine work.

The platform adopted inveighs against trusts and favors tariff revision. Contrary to expectations, there was no effort made to put the convention on record as for or against the State dispensary, there apparently being a desire to let the question be fought out in each county. The Outis resolution to have the question voted on in every county was rejected. Other than adopting the platform and mapping out the rules and regulations for the coming primary election, the convention had little to do except routine work.

The platform adopted inveighs against trusts and favors tariff revision. Contrary to expectations, there was no effort made to put the convention on record as for or against the State dispensary, there apparently being a desire to let the question be fought out in each county. The Outis resolution to have the question voted on in every county was rejected. Other than adopting the platform and mapping out the rules and regulations for the coming primary election, the convention had little to do except routine work.

The platform adopted inveighs against trusts and favors tariff revision. Contrary to expectations, there was no effort made to put the convention on record as for or against the State dispensary, there apparently being a desire to let the question be fought out in each county. The Outis resolution to have the question voted on in every county was rejected. Other than adopting the platform and mapping out the rules and regulations for the coming primary election, the convention had little to do except routine work.

The platform adopted inveighs against trusts and favors tariff revision. Contrary to expectations, there was no effort made to put the convention on record as for or against the State dispensary, there apparently being a desire to let the question be fought out in each county. The Outis resolution to have the question voted on in every county was rejected. Other than adopting the platform and mapping out the rules and regulations for the coming primary election, the convention had little to do except routine work.

The platform adopted inveighs against trusts and favors tariff revision. Contrary to expectations, there was no effort made to put the convention on record as for or against the State dispensary, there apparently being a desire to let the question be fought out in each county. The Outis resolution to have the question voted on in every county was rejected. Other than adopting the platform and mapping out the rules and regulations for the coming primary election, the convention had little to do except routine work.

The platform adopted inveighs against trusts and favors tariff revision. Contrary to expectations, there was no effort made to put the convention on record as for or against the State dispensary, there apparently being a desire to let the question be fought out in each county. The Outis resolution to have the question voted on in every county was rejected. Other than adopting the platform and mapping out the rules and regulations for the coming primary election, the convention had little to do except routine work.

The platform adopted inveighs against trusts and favors tariff revision. Contrary to expectations, there was no effort made to put the convention on record as for or against the State dispensary, there apparently being a desire to let the question be fought out in each county. The Outis resolution to have the question voted on in every county was rejected. Other than adopting the platform and mapping out the rules and regulations for the coming primary election, the convention had little to do except routine work.

The platform adopted inveighs against trusts and favors tariff revision. Contrary to expectations, there was no effort made to put the convention on record as for or against the State dispensary, there apparently being a desire to let the question be fought out in each county. The Outis resolution to have the question voted on in every county was rejected. Other than adopting the platform and mapping out the rules and regulations for the coming primary election, the convention had little to do except routine work.

The platform adopted inveighs against trusts and favors tariff revision. Contrary to expectations, there was no effort made to put the convention on record as for or against the State dispensary, there apparently being a desire to let the question be fought out in each county. The Outis resolution to have the question voted on in every county was rejected. Other than adopting the platform and mapping out the rules and regulations for the coming primary election, the convention had little to do except routine work.

The platform adopted inveighs against trusts and favors tariff revision. Contrary to expectations, there was no effort made to put the convention on record as for or against the State dispensary, there apparently being a desire to let the question be fought out in each county. The Outis resolution to have the question voted on in every county was rejected. Other than adopting the platform and mapping out the rules and regulations for the coming primary election, the convention had little to do except routine work.

The platform adopted inveighs against trusts and favors tariff revision. Contrary to expectations, there was no effort made to put the convention on record as for or against the State dispensary, there apparently being a desire to let the question be fought out in each county. The Outis resolution to have the question voted on in every county was rejected. Other than adopting the platform and mapping out the rules and regulations for the coming primary election, the convention had little to do except routine work.

The platform adopted inveighs against trusts and favors tariff revision. Contrary to expectations, there was no effort made to put the convention on record as for or against the State dispensary, there apparently being a desire to let the question be fought out in each county. The Outis resolution to have the question voted on in every county was rejected. Other than adopting the platform and mapping out the rules and regulations for the coming primary election, the convention had little to do except routine work.

The platform adopted inveighs against trusts and favors tariff revision. Contrary to expectations, there was no effort made to put the convention on record as for or against the State dispensary, there apparently being a desire to let the question be fought out in each county. The Outis resolution to have the question voted on in every county was rejected. Other than adopting the platform and mapping out the rules and regulations for the coming primary election, the convention had little to do except routine work.

The platform adopted inveighs against trusts and favors tariff revision. Contrary to expectations, there was no effort made to put the convention on record as for or against the State dispensary, there apparently being a desire to let the question be fought out in each county. The Outis resolution to have the question voted on in every county was rejected. Other than adopting the platform and mapping out the rules and regulations for the coming primary election, the convention had little to do except routine work.

The platform adopted inveighs against trusts and favors tariff revision. Contrary to expectations, there was no effort made to put the convention on record as for or against the State dispensary, there apparently being a desire to let the question be fought out in each county. The Outis resolution to have the question voted on in every county was rejected. Other than adopting the platform and mapping out the rules and regulations for the coming primary election, the convention had little to do except routine work.

The platform adopted inveighs against trusts and favors tariff revision. Contrary to expectations, there was no effort made to put the convention on record as for or against the State dispensary, there apparently being a desire to let the question be fought out in each county. The Outis resolution to have the question voted on in every county was rejected. Other than adopting the platform and mapping out the rules and regulations for the coming primary election, the convention had little to do except routine work.

The platform adopted inveighs against trusts and favors tariff revision. Contrary to expectations, there was no effort made to put the convention on record as for or against the State dispensary, there apparently being a desire to let the question be fought out in each county. The Outis resolution to have the question voted on in every county was rejected. Other than adopting the platform and mapping out the rules and regulations for the coming primary election, the convention had little to do except routine work.

The platform adopted inveighs against trusts and favors tariff revision. Contrary to expectations, there was no effort made to put the convention on record as for or against the State dispensary, there apparently being a desire to let the question be fought out in each county. The Outis resolution to have the question voted on in every county was rejected. Other than adopting the platform and mapping out the rules and regulations for the coming primary election, the convention had little to do except routine work.

The platform adopted inveighs against trusts and favors tariff revision. Contrary to expectations, there was no effort made to put the convention on record as for or against the State dispensary, there apparently being a desire to let the question be fought out in each county. The Outis resolution to have the question voted on in every county was rejected. Other than adopting the platform and mapping out the rules and regulations for the coming primary election, the convention had little to do except routine work.

The platform adopted inveighs against trusts and favors tariff revision. Contrary to expectations, there was no effort made to put the convention on record as for or against the State dispensary, there apparently being a desire to let the question be fought out in each county. The Outis resolution to have the question voted on in every county was rejected. Other than adopting the platform and mapping out the rules and regulations for the coming primary election, the convention had little to do except routine work.

The platform adopted inveighs against trusts and favors tariff revision. Contrary to expectations, there was no effort made to put the convention on record as for or against the State dispensary, there apparently being a desire to let the question be fought out in each county. The Outis resolution to have the question voted on in every county was rejected. Other than adopting the platform and mapping out the rules and regulations for the coming primary election, the convention had little to do except routine work.

The platform adopted inveighs against trusts and favors tariff revision. Contrary to expectations, there was no effort made to put the convention on record as for or against the State dispensary, there apparently being a desire to let the question be fought out in each county. The Outis resolution to have the question voted on in every county was rejected. Other than adopting the platform and mapping out the rules and regulations for the coming primary election, the convention had little to do except routine work.

The platform adopted inveighs against trusts and favors tariff revision. Contrary to expectations, there was no effort made to put the convention on record as for or against the State dispensary, there apparently being a desire to let the question be fought out in each county. The Outis resolution to have the question voted on in every county was rejected. Other than adopting the platform and mapping out the rules and regulations for the coming primary election, the convention had little to do except routine work.

The platform adopted inveighs against trusts and favors tariff revision. Contrary to expectations, there was no effort made to put the convention on record as for or against the State dispensary, there apparently being a desire to let the question be fought out in each county. The Outis resolution to have the question voted on in every county was rejected. Other than adopting the platform and mapping out the rules and regulations for the coming primary election, the convention had little to do except routine work.

The platform adopted inveighs against trusts and favors tariff revision. Contrary to expectations, there was no effort made to put the convention on record as for or against the State dispensary, there apparently being a desire to let the question be fought out in each county. The Outis resolution to have the question voted on in every county was rejected. Other than adopting the platform and mapping out the rules and regulations for the coming primary election, the convention had little to do except routine work.

The platform adopted inveighs against trusts and favors tariff revision. Contrary to expectations, there was no effort made to put the convention on record as for or against the State dispensary, there apparently being a desire to let the question be fought out in each county. The Outis resolution to have the question voted on in every county was rejected. Other than adopting the platform and mapping out the rules and regulations for the coming primary election, the convention had little to do except routine work.

The platform adopted inveighs against trusts and favors tariff revision. Contrary to expectations, there was no effort made to put the convention on record as for or against the State dispensary, there apparently being a desire to let the question be fought out in each county. The Outis resolution to have the question voted on in every county was rejected. Other than adopting the platform and mapping out the rules and regulations for the coming primary election, the convention had little to do except routine work.

The platform adopted inveighs against trusts and favors tariff revision. Contrary to expectations, there was no effort made to put the convention on record as for or against the State dispensary, there apparently being a desire to let the question be fought out in each county. The Outis resolution to have the question voted on in every county was rejected. Other than adopting the platform and mapping out the rules and regulations for the coming primary election, the convention had little to do except routine work.

The platform adopted inveighs against trusts and favors tariff revision. Contrary to expectations, there was no effort made to put the convention on record as for or against the State dispensary, there apparently being a desire to let the question be fought out in each county. The Outis resolution to have the question voted on in every county was rejected. Other than adopting the platform and mapping out the rules and regulations for the coming primary election, the convention had little to do except routine work.

The platform adopted inveighs against trusts and favors tariff revision. Contrary to expectations, there was no effort made to put the convention on record as for or against the State dispensary, there apparently being a desire to let the question be fought out in each county. The Outis resolution to have the question voted on in every county was rejected. Other than adopting the platform and mapping out the rules and regulations for the coming primary election, the convention had little to do except routine work.

The platform adopted inveighs against trusts and favors tariff revision. Contrary to expectations, there was no effort made to put the convention on record as for or against the State dispensary, there apparently being a desire to let the question be fought out in each county. The Outis resolution to have the question voted on in every county was rejected. Other than adopting the platform and mapping out the rules and regulations for the coming primary election, the convention had little to do except routine work.

The platform adopted inveighs against trusts and favors tariff revision. Contrary to expectations, there was no effort made to put the convention on record as for or against the State dispensary, there apparently being a desire to let the question be fought out in each county. The Outis resolution to have the question voted on in every county was rejected. Other than adopting the platform and mapping out the rules and regulations for the coming primary election, the convention had little to do except routine work.

#### Prominent Figures in Rate Fight.



up the house which they have occupied this winter at No. 2111 S Street, Northwest. Mrs. Martin and her two little children have gone to Smithfield, in Isle of Wight county, to visit her father and mother. Colonel and Mrs. Benton Day, the senator and his brother, Mr. Leslie H. Martin, have taken apartments at Rauscher's. The latter will go down to Albemarle as soon as he recovers sufficiently from his recent severe attack of illness. Mrs. Martin and the children will go to Albemarle in a short time, and be ready to welcome the senator when his duties for this session terminate. That date is a matter of pure guesswork.

#### Washington Affairs.

(From Our Regular Correspondent.)

WASHINGTON, D. C., May 16.—North Carolina rural routes ordered established July 16th; Ahoaske, Hartford county, route 4, population, 362, houses, 180; Jamestown, Guilford county, route 1, population, 620, houses, 150.

SENATOR ALLISON.

SENATOR LA FOLLETTE.

SENATOR ALDRICH.

SENATOR JONES.

SENATOR CARMACK.

SENATOR DILLON.

SENATOR BROWN.

SENATOR HARRIS.

SENATOR CLARK.

SENATOR GOWEN.

SENATOR CASSATT.

SENATOR HICKS.

SENATOR MCKINNEY.

SENATOR WATSON.

SENATOR BROWN.

SENATOR HARRIS.

SENATOR CLARK.

SENATOR GOWEN.

SENATOR CASSATT.

SENATOR HICKS.

SENATOR MCKINNEY.

SENATOR WATSON.

SENATOR BROWN.

SENATOR HARRIS.

SENATOR CLARK.

SENATOR GOWEN.

SENATOR CASSATT.

SENATOR HICKS.

SENATOR MCKINNEY.

SENATOR WATSON.

SENATOR BROWN.

SENATOR HARRIS.

SENATOR CLARK.

SENATOR GOWEN.

SENATOR CASSATT.

SENATOR HICKS.

SENATOR MCKINNEY.

SENATOR WATSON.

SENATOR BROWN.

SENATOR HARRIS.

SENATOR CLARK.

SENATOR GOWEN.

SENATOR CASSATT.

SENATOR HICKS.

SENATOR MCKINNEY.

SENATOR WATSON.

SENATOR BROWN.

SENATOR HARRIS.

SENATOR CLARK.

SENATOR GOWEN.

SENATOR CASSATT.

SENATOR HICKS.

SENATOR MCKINNEY.

SENATOR WATSON.

SENATOR BROWN.

SENATOR HARRIS.

SENATOR CLARK.

SENATOR GOWEN.

SENATOR CASSATT.

SENATOR HICKS.

SENATOR MCKINNEY.

SENATOR WATSON.

SENATOR BROWN.

SENATOR HARRIS.

SENATOR CLARK.

SENATOR GOWEN.

SENATOR CASSATT.

SENATOR HICKS.

SENATOR MCKINNEY.

SENATOR WATSON.

SENATOR BROWN.

SENATOR HARRIS.

SENATOR CLARK.

SENATOR GOWEN.

SENATOR CASSATT.

SENATOR HICKS.

SENATOR MCKINNEY.

SENATOR WATSON.

SENATOR BROWN.

SENATOR HARRIS.

SENATOR CLARK.

SENATOR G